Helsinki University of Technology

#### Topology Preservation - part I Self-organising maps

Dušan Sovilj

23.10.2007

# Outline

- Distance vs Topology
- Topology-preserving methods
- Self-organising map in dimensionality reduction
  - embedding of data set
  - embedding of test set
  - example
  - summary

# Distance vs Topology

- Distance
  - simple to understand
  - easy to compute
  - rigid in dimensionality reduction
- Topology
  - can fully describe manifolds
  - hard to represent with few points
  - without a good description of topology, dimensionality reduction is impossible

# **Topology-preserving methods**

- Very ofted they use the discrete mapping model
  - the topology is then also discrete, called *lattice*
- Topology can be discretely represented with a graph
- Classified according to type of topology used in embedding space
  - predefined lattice
  - data-driven lattice

# 'Predefined lattice' methods

- Methods
  - self-organising map
  - generative topographic mapping
- Application very limited (few manifolds fit predefined shape)
- Good way for visualization of labeled data

# SOM in DR

- Can be interpreted as non-linear & discrete PCA
  - fitting of hyperplane inside the data cloud
  - hyperplane is described with discrete points
  - fitting of a 'fishing net' around an object
- SOM uses a mix of vector quantization and topology preservation

# Classic VQ & SOM's VQ

- Vector quantization in SOMs are mandatory
- Classic VQ doesn't take into account the relations between the prototypes/representatives
- SOM tries to 'preserve' the lattice in data space by moving prototypes in neighbouring groups

## SOM definition

- Set *C* containing the prototypes in the data space for vector quantization. Prototypes are *D*-dimensional points **c**(*r*)
- Distance function  $d_q(r, s)$  between the prototypes in the lattice
- *r* & *s* are indices of prototypes

# Embedding of data points in SOM

- Lattice plays the role of embedding space
  - $d_g(r, s)$  cannot be any distance function
  - $d_g(r,s)$  is often defined as  $d_g(g(r),g(s)), g(r),g(s) \in G \subset \mathbb{R}^P$ *P* is dimensionality of embedding space
- Prototypes have coordinates both in data and embedding space
  - coordinates in embedding space are known (predefined lattice)
  - coordinates in data space are unknown, and SOM has to find them
- Embedding of data points y(i)

x(i)=g(r)  $r=\arg\min_{s}d(y(i),c(s))$ 

where *d* is Euclidean distance

## Prototypes' coordinates

- Iteratively by going through all data points in **Y** (epochs)
- For every point y(i)
  - 1. Find closest prototype

 $r = \arg\min_{s} d(y(i), c(s))$ 

2. Update coordinates of all prototypes

 $c(s) \leftarrow c(s) + \alpha v_{\lambda}(r,s) (y(i) - c(s))$ 

 $\alpha$  learning rate,  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$  decreases with epochs  $\nu_{\lambda}$  neighbourhood function

### Neighbourhood functions

• 'Bubble'

$$v_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{d}_{g}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s}) > \lambda \\ 1 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{d}_{g}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s}) \leq \lambda \end{cases} \\ \boldsymbol{d}_{g}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s}) = \boldsymbol{L}_{1}, \boldsymbol{L}_{2}, \boldsymbol{L}_{\infty} \end{cases}$$

• Gaussian like

$$v_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s}) = \exp\left(-\frac{d_g^2(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s})}{2\lambda^2}\right)$$
$$d_g(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s}) = L_2$$

## Shape of lattice

- In most implementations the points in the lattice are equally spaced in the plane which forces the embedding space to be two-dimensional
  - square structure (8 neighbours)
  - hexagonal struture (6 neighbours)
- For higher dimension lattices the hyper-cubic neighbourhoods are mostly used

# SOM batch algorithm

- 1. Define the lattice by assigning the low-dimensional coordinates g(r) of the prototypes in the embedding space
- **2.** Initialize the coordinates c(r) of prototypes in the data space
- 3. Give  $\alpha$  and  $\nu$  their scheduled values for epoch q
- 4. For all points y(i) in the data set, find it's closest prototype and update coordinates of all prototypes
- 5. Return the step 3 until convergence is reached (the updates of prototypes become negligible)

# Embedding of test points

• Calculation is done exactly as for data points

 $c(s) \leftarrow c(s) + \alpha v_{\lambda}(r,s) (y(i) - c(s))$ 

- This gives rough estimate of exact coordinates of test points in embedding space, since there is fixed number of outputs
- There exist precise interpolation methods for finding these coordinates

### Example



### Example (cont.)



# SOM summary (1)

- Vector quantization is mandatory
- Models data in nonlinear and discrete way
- Mappings is explicit (prototypes only)

# SOM summary (2)

- Advantages
  - Easy to understand
  - Nice visualization method
  - Applicable to various fields
- Drawbacks
  - Low dimensionality of embedding space (usually 1 or 2 dimensions)
  - Vector quantization
  - Shape of lattice predefined (often arbitrary)
  - No objective function or error criterion
  - What are good values for parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\nu$

## SOM variants

- Extend the lattice by adding rows and colums
  - GG (Growing Grid) & GSOM (Growing SOM)
- Change the shape appropriatelly to fit data
  - GCS (Growing Cell Structure)