#### T-61.6020 Machine Learning: Basic Principles: Chapter 10: Approximate inference - Part I

Janne Toivola jatoivol@cis.hut.fi The figures are from the PRML book by Christopher M. Bishop



# Topics

- Variational inference?
- Factorized distributions
- Variational mixture of Gaussians
- Variational linear regression

### Calculus of Variations

- Derivative of a funtion tells how the value changes when a parameter is varied
- Maximum of a function at  $\frac{d}{dx}f(x) = 0$
- Similarly, functional derivative tells how a functional H[f] changes when the function is varied
- We can find a function that fits the best

### Variational inference

- The true posterior  $p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X})$  considered too complicated to maximize
- We want to fit  $q(\mathbf{Z})$  so that it approximates  $p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X})$
- Variational methods allow us to make trade-off between the form of  $q(\mathbf{Z})$  and its accuracy

### Variational inference

- As with EM, the objective is to maximize the likelihood of evidence  $p(\mathbf{X})$
- We end up with  $\ln p(\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{L}(q) + KL(q||p)$
- Improving the lower bound L(q) is equivalent with minimizing the KL divergence







- A distribution approximated by a Gaussian
- Laplace (red) vs. variational (green)



#### Factorized distributions

- One way to restrict the family of distributions M $q(\mathbf{Z}) = \prod q_i(\mathbf{Z}_i)$
- The lower bound  $\mathcal{L}(q)$  is maximized by iteratively considering each  $\mathbf{Z}_j$  in turn

$$\ln q_j^{\star}(\mathbf{Z}_j) = \mathbb{E}_{i \neq j}[\ln p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z})] + const$$





### Example 2

 Asymmetry of KL divergence when approximating a mixture of two Gaussians







KL(p||q)

KL(q||p)

### Example 3

- Univariate Gaussian, true priors are:
- $p(\tau) = Gam(\tau | a_0, b_0)$   $p(\mu | \tau) = \mathcal{N}(\mu | \mu_0, (\lambda_0 \tau)^{-1})$ 
  - Approximated by:  $q(\mu, \tau) = q_{\mu}(\mu)q_{\tau}(\tau)$









• Variational mixture of Gaussians

 $p(\mathbf{U}) = p(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Z}, \mu, \mathbf{\Lambda}) p(\mathbf{Z}|\pi) p(\pi) p(\mu|\mathbf{\Lambda}) p(\mathbf{\Lambda})$ 







- Make a wild assumption about the factorization:  $q(\mathbf{Z}, \pi, \mu, \Lambda) = q(\mathbf{Z})q(\pi, \mu, \Lambda)$
- Additionally it turns out that K $q(\pi, \mu, \Lambda) = q(\pi) \prod q(\mu_k, \Lambda_k)$

k=1

• Solve update equations for  $q^{\star}(\pi)$ ,  $q^{\star}(\mu_k, \Lambda_k)$  and  $q^{\star}(\mathbf{Z})$ 





### Example 5

 Variational linear regression  $p(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{w}, \alpha) = p(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{w}) p(\mathbf{w} | \alpha) p(\alpha)$  $p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{w}) = \prod \mathcal{N}(t_n | \mathbf{w}^T \phi_n, \beta^{-1})$  $\alpha$ n=1 $p(\mathbf{w}|\alpha) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{0}, \alpha^{-1}\mathbf{I})$  $\phi_n$  $p(\alpha) = Gam(\alpha|a_0, b_0)$ W  $t_n$  $q(\mathbf{w}, \alpha) = q(\mathbf{w})q(\alpha)$ 

## More questions?

- Should I really know how to derive equations for probability distributions?-)
- How can we delegate that to a computer?