T-61.5140 Machine Learning: Advanced Probablistic Methods

Jaakko Hollmén

Department of Information and Computer Science Helsinki University of Technology, Finland e-mail: Jaakko.Hollmen@tkk.fi Web: http://www.cis.hut.fi/Opinnot/T-61.5140/

February 13, 2008

《曰》 《聞》 《臣》 《臣》 三臣 …

So far on the course...

Random variables and statistical independence

- Random variables and probability distributions
- Independence and conditional independence
- Bayes's rule
- Bayesian Networks
 - Factorization of the joint probability distribution
 - Graphical representation
 - Markov Blanket and d-separation
 - Inference as an application of the Bayes's rule

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Other sources for the interested

Textbooks on Bayesian Networks:

- Finn V. Jensen and Thomas D. Nielsen: Bayesian Networks and Decision Graphs, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, 2007.
- Richard E. Neapolitan: Learning Bayesian Networks, Prentice-Hall, 2004.
- Learning in Graphical Models, edited by Michael Jordan, MIT Press, 1999.

Graphical models, everything in condensed form:

 Michael I. Jordan: Graphical Models, Statistical Science, voi 19, No. 1, pp 140–155, http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/08834230400000026

Inference in Bayesian Networks

P(A, B, C, D, E) = P(A)P(B|A)P(C|A)P(D|B, C)P(E|C)

What is
$$P(A|C = c, E = e) = \frac{\sum_{B} \sum_{D} P(A, B, c, D, e)}{P(c, e)}$$
?

$$\sum_{B} \sum_{D} P(A, B, c, D, e) =$$

$$\sum_{B} \sum_{D} P(e|c)P(c|A)P(D|B, c)P(A)P(B|A)$$

$$= P(e|c)P(c|A)P(A) \sum_{B} \sum_{D} P(D|B, c)P(B|A)$$

$$= P(e|c)P(c|A)P(A) \sum_{B} P(B|A) \sum_{D} P(D|B, c)$$

$$= P(e|c)P(c|A)P(A).$$

Variable elimination

Formalization of the previous inference process

- We have a set of probability tables \mathcal{T}
- We wish to marginalize a variable *X*:
 - Take all tables from T that include X
 - Calculate a product of them
 - Marginalize *x* out of it
 - Place the resulting table in T

In the example, we marginalized first over B, then D

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Variable elimination

Variable elimination

- Marginalization eliminates variables from tables
- Elimination order has a large impact on the complexity of the algorithm
- Rina Dechter: Bucket Elimination, Artificial Intelligence, 1999
- http:

//dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0004-3702(99)00059-4

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Inference in Bayesian Networks

Inference: having some observed variables, we wish to compute the posterior probability of some other variables

- Variable elimination is one of the simplest *exact inference* algorithms
- Variable elimination works directly on variables and probability tables
- Next: Secondary representation based on the directed graph (assumptions); local message passing algorithms

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Cliques and potential functions

Joint distribution may be written as a product of *potential functions* of sets of variables \mathbf{x}_{C} :

- $p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_C \psi_C(\mathbf{x}_c)$
- $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}_c) \ge 0 \Rightarrow p(x) \ge 0$
- Partition function $Z = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \prod_{C} \psi_{C}(\mathbf{x}_{c})$
- Partition function is a normalization constant
- Partition function may be difficult to compute!
- In calculating local conditional distributions (a ratio of two distributions), Z cancels out

• \mathbf{x}_C need to be maximal cliques of the directed graph Clique is a subset of nodes such that there exists a link between all pairs of nodes in the subset

Hammersley-Clifford theorem

Consider

- set of distributions that are consistent with the set of conditional independence statements that can be read from the graph using graph separation
- set of distributions that can be expressed as a factorization (as on the previous slide) with respect to maximal cliques of the graph

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

Hammersley-Clifford theorem states the sets are identical

Example: directed and undirected chain

A Markov chain in directed and undirected form:

- $p(\mathbf{x}) = p(x_1)p(x_2|x_1)p(x_3|x_2)\dots p(x_N|x_{N-1})$
- The elements are conditional probability distributions
- Maximal cliques are the pairs of neighboring nodes
- $p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \psi_{1,2}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{2,3}(x_2, x_3) \dots \psi_{N-1,N}(x_{N-1}, x_N)$
- The elements don't necessarily have probabilistic implementation

We need operations (or a "recipe") to convert a factorization over a directed graph to that of an undirected graph!

Recipe: Constructing a Junction Tree

Take the directed acyclic graph and

- Moralize: Marry the parents with undirected edges
- Drop the direction of all arrows (moral graph)
- Triangulate the graph: find chordless cycles containing four or more nodes, add links to eliminate such cycles
- Construct a tree-structured undirected graph: nodes are maximal cliques of the triangulated graph
- Connect pairs of cliques that have variables in common

Now we have a junction tree, a secondary representation for the original Bayesian network that allows simple message passing algorithms

About the junction tree

- Multiple junction trees can be created from a given starting position
- The size of the largest clique determines the complexity of the inference procedure (treewidth)
- Treewidth is the (smallest) number of variables in the largest clique minus one

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

- For trees, treewidth is one (simple inference)
- What kind of models have large treewidth?