

T.61.5140 Machine Learning: Advanced Probabilistic Methods

Hollmén, Raiko (Spring 2008)

Problem session, 18th of April, 2008

<http://www.cis.hut.fi/Opinnot/T-61.5140/>

1. Consider the probabilistic principal component analysis (PPCA) model

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{A}s(t) + \mathbf{n}(t) \quad (1)$$

with 3-dimensional data $\mathbf{x}(t)$, 1-dimensional source $s(t)$, and Gaussian noise $p(n_i(t)) = N(n_i(t) | 0, \sigma_i^2)$. We would like to estimate the noise level σ_i^2 for each data dimension $i = 1, 2, 3$ using the maximum likelihood estimator. What happens when the weight matrix \mathbf{A} goes to $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^T$, and the source $s(t)$ copies the first dimension of the data: $s(t) = x_1(t)$?

2. Variational Bayesian cost function C_{vb} is often a sum of simple terms, one for each variable. Consider the variable s whose model is $p(s | m, v) = N(s | m, \exp(-v))$. Assume that s, m, v are independent a posteriori, that is, $q(s, m, v) = q(s)q(m)q(v)$ and that $q(s) = N(s | \bar{s}, \tilde{s})$. Show that the term of the cost for s , $C_{\text{vb}}(s) = E_q \left\{ \ln \frac{q(s)}{p(s|m,v)} \right\}$, is

$$C_{\text{vb}}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \left(E_q \{ \exp v \} \left[(\bar{s} - E_q \{ m \})^2 + \text{Var}_q \{ m \} + \tilde{s} \right] - E_q \{ v \} + \ln(2\pi) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi e \tilde{s}). \quad (2)$$

3. Consider the following model:

$$p(x(t) | m, v) = N(x(t) | m, \exp(-v)) \quad (3)$$

$$p(m) = N(m | 0, \exp(5)) \quad (4)$$

$$p(v) = N(v | 0, \exp(5)), \quad (5)$$

where $x(t), t = 1, \dots, T$ are the observed data and m and v are latent variables. Use the posterior approximation $q(m, v) = q(m)q(v) = N(m | \bar{m}, \tilde{m})N(v | \bar{v}, \tilde{v})$. Assuming that $q(v)$ is fixed, find $q(m)$ that minimizes

$$C_{\text{vb}} = E_q \left\{ \ln \frac{q(m,v)}{p(\{x(t)\}_{t=1}^T, m, v)} \right\}.$$

4. Show that the EM algorithm is a special case of the VB-EM algorithm where the family of approximate distributions $q(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ for the parameters is restricted to delta distributions (distributions where the whole probability mass is concentrated on a single point). Some assumptions have to be made: The family of approximate distributions $q(\mathbf{Z})$ for latent variables \mathbf{Z} should include the true posterior $p(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$. KL-divergence will go to infinity, so the minimization has to be considered as a limiting process (in practice by ignoring the term $q \ln q$ that can be considered constant). Also, VB-EM usually has a prior for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, while EM does not. Let us consider the version of EM with a prior for the parameters.

EM algorithm with a prior for parameters:

$$q(\mathbf{Z}) \leftarrow p(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad (6)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} \leftarrow \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmax}} E_{q(\mathbf{Z})} \{ \ln p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \} \quad (7)$$

VB-EM algorithm:

$$q(\mathbf{Z}) \leftarrow \underset{q(\mathbf{Z})}{\operatorname{argmin}} E_{q(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \{ \operatorname{KL}(q(\mathbf{Z}) \| p(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) \} \quad (8)$$

$$q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \leftarrow \underset{q(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\operatorname{argmin}} E_{q(\mathbf{Z})} \{ \operatorname{KL}(q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \| p(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z})) \} \quad (9)$$

Kullback-Leibler divergence:

$$\operatorname{KL}(q(x) \| p(x)) = E_{q(x)} \left\{ \ln \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right\} \quad (10)$$

$$(11)$$