Subsequences and substrings

1

- Change the episode definition slightly: **consecutive** requirement
- For episode α to appear, require that all event types in α must occur one after the other, with no extra events in between
- If α is the parallel episode AB, then it occurs only if in the sequence we see AB or BA, close enough to each other
- *ACB* will not count as an occurrence
- With this requirement serial episodes are more or less equivalent to substrings
- The first definition for episodes is subsequences

Finding frequently occurring substrings

• Suffix tries: a very efficient data structure

Chapter 5: Complexity of finding frequent patterns

5. Complexity of finding frequent patterns

- How difficult is it to find frequent patterns?
- Examples of some simple theoretical analyses
- Very simple lower bounds
- Border of a theory
- Guess-and-correct algorithm
- Borders and hypergraph transversals

Complexity of finding frequent sets

- \bullet data set with n rows, p attributes
- Find all frequent sets for some frequency threshold
- What is the complexity+
- We have to read the whole dataset $\Rightarrow \Omega(n)$ (at least linear in n)
- The result has to be output: in the worst case 2^p frequent sets, each of size from 1 to $p \Rightarrow \Omega(2^p)$
- The levelwise algorithm takes time O(npC), where C is the total number of candidates considered

A very simple lower bound

- Sometimes finding frequent sets takes exponential time in the number of attributes
- But is this just because the output can be large
- Is this the only reason why the problem can be exponential?
- No
- Model of computation: questions of the form "is X frequent?"
- How many such questions have to be asked to identify the answer?
- We don't have to output the answer

A very simple lower bound, cont.

• Simple case: p attributes, only one maximal frequent set, with size k



• Each question "Is X frequent?" provides 1 bit of information

$$\log \binom{m}{k} \approx k \log(m/k)$$

questions are needed to identify the single frequent set

A very simple lower bound, cont.

- Simple case: p attributes, many maximal frequent sets, each of size k
- $S = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ k \end{pmatrix}$ different possible maximal frequent sets
- $T = 2^S$ different collections
- Each question "Is X frequent?" provides 1 bit of information

$$\log 2^S = S = \binom{p}{k}$$

questions are needed

• If
$$k = p/2$$
, then $\binom{p}{k}$ is exponential in p

• Thus identifying the answer can be difficult

Verifying the answer

- Suppose somebody tells us that the frequent sets of a dataset are *ABC*, *CD*, and *BCE*, and all their subsets (attributes *ABCDE*)
- Which questions should we ask to verify that this is indeed true?
- Test that ABC, CD, and BCE indeed are frequent
- If so, the claim is at least partly true
- There might be some other sets that could still be frequent

Verifying the answer, cont.

- ABC, CD, and BCE are frequent
- The claim is that no set other than the subsets of these are frequent
- What is the smallest collection of sets that we should test to verify this?
- Claim: if some other set is frequent, then one of AE, AD, DB, DE is frequent
- Why?

Why?

- If something else than ABC, CD, and BCE and their subsets is frequent, then that set X cannot be a subset of any of those
- The minimal sets X that are not subsets of any of $ABC,\ CD,\ BCE$
- The minimal sets that intersect the complements of *ABC*, *CD*, *BCE*
- The minimal sets that intersect DE, ABE, AD
- These are AE, AD, DB, DE

The border of a collection $\mathcal F$ of frequent sets

- \bullet A collection ${\mathcal F}$ of frequent sets
- Closed under subsets
- positive border Bd⁺(F): the sets that are in F, but whose all proper supersets are outside F
- The *negative border* $\mathcal{B}d^-(\mathcal{F})$: sets that are not in \mathcal{F} , but whose all proper subsets are in \mathcal{F}

Example

• Above we had $\mathcal{F} =$ subsets of ABC, CD, BCE, i.e.,

 $\mathcal{F} = \{ \emptyset, A, B, , C, D, E, AB, AC, BC, CD, BE, ABC, BCE \}$

- $\mathcal{B}d^+(\mathcal{F}) = \{ABC, CD, BCE\}$
- $\mathcal{B}d^{-}(\mathcal{F}) = \{AE, AD, DB, DE\}$

Another example

• $R = \{A, \dots, F\}$

 $\{\{A\},\{B\},\{C\},\{F\},\{A,B\},\{A,C\},\{A,F\},\{C,F\},\{A,C,F\}\}.$

• The negative border

$$\mathcal{B}d^{-}(\mathcal{F}) = \{\{D\}, \{E\}, \{B, C\}, \{B, F\}\}$$

• The positive border, in turn, contains the maximal frequent sets, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{B}d^{+}(\mathcal{F}) = \{\{A, B\}, \{A, C, F\}\}$$

Verification problem

 \bullet Verifying that ${\mathcal F}$ is the collection of frequent sets of a database requires

 $|\mathcal{B}d^+(()\mathcal{F})| + |\mathcal{B}d^-(()\mathcal{F})|$

queries of the form "Is X frequent?"

How to compute the negative border?

- Given a collection of frequent sets
- Computing the positive border is quite simple: just find the maximal elements
- Computing the negative border is more difficult
- Negative border: the minimal sets that intersect all the complements of the sets in the positive border
- Hypergraph transversal problem
- An interesting combinatorial question

When computing frequent sets

- Candidates = frequent sets + negative border
- Why?

Examples: random data sets

Independent attributes, probability of a 1 is p

p	min_fr	$ \mathcal{F} $	$ \mathcal{B}d^+(\mathcal{F}) $	$ \mathcal{B}d^-(\mathcal{F}) $
0.2	0.01	469	273	938
0.2	0.005	1291	834	3027
0.5	0.1	1335	1125	4627
0.5	0.05	5782	4432	11531

Experimental results with random data sets.

min_fr	$ \mathcal{F} $	$ \mathcal{B}d^+(\mathcal{F}) $	$ \mathcal{B}d^-(\mathcal{F}) $
0.08	96	35	201
0.06	270	61	271
0.04	1028	154	426
0.02	6875	328	759

Experimental results with a real data set.

Borders for other types of patterns

- Can be defined in exactly the same way
- Result of finding frequent patterns is a collection of patterns closed under generalizations
- Positive border: most specific patterns in the collection
- Negative border: most general patterns not in the collection

Example for strings

- \mathcal{P} : substrings over an alphabet \sum
- q: how frequently the substring occurs
- (substrings vs. subsequences pprox sequential episodes)
- $\sum = \{a, b, c\}$
- $\mathcal{F} = \{a, b, c, ab, bc, abc, cb\}$
- positive border $\{abc, cb\}$
- negative border {ca, aa, bb, ba, cc, ac}(?)



A collection $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{s}, win, min_fr)$ of frequent episodes.

$(B) \rightarrow (A) \qquad (A) \rightarrow (D) \rightarrow (B)$

The positive border $\mathcal{B}d^+(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{s}, win, min_fr))$.



The negative border $\mathcal{B}d^{-}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{s}, win, min_fr))$. (Tends to be tricky to check; is this correct?)

Complexity of the levelwise algorithm

• The levelwise algorithm compute the frequency of the frequent patterns and the patterns in the negative border

The guess-and-correct algorithm

- Levelwise search: safe but sometimes slow
- Especially if there are frequent patterns that are far from the bottom of the specialization relation
- An alternative: start finding \mathcal{F} from an initial guess $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$, and then correcting the guess by looking at the database
- If the initial guess is good, few iterations are needed to correct the result