T-61.5020 Statistical Natural Language Processing

Answers 9 — Statistical machine translation Version 1.1

1. We are trying to find the most probable translation \hat{e} for the Swedish sentence r:

$$\hat{e} = \operatorname{argmax} P(e|r) = \operatorname{argmax} P(e)P(r|e)$$

Let's use the model presented in the course book for the probability P(r|e):

$$P(r|e) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{a_1=0}^{l} \cdots \sum_{a_m=0}^{l} \prod_{j=1}^{m} P(r_j|e_{a_j})$$

where m is the lenght of the original Swedish sentence and l is the lenght of the translated English sentence. For the two possibilities:

$$P(r|e_1) = 1.0 \cdot 0.7 \cdot 0.9 \cdot 1.0 \cdot 1.0 \cdot 0.1 = 0.063$$
$$P(r|e_2) = 1.0 \cdot 0.7 \cdot 1.0 \cdot 1.0 \cdot 1.0 \cdot 1.0 = 0.7$$

Here we tried the all possible translation rules for each Swedish word. Because the set of the rules is very sparse, the calculation became as simple as that.

The prior probability P(e) is obtained from the language model. Let's calculate it for both of the models:

$$P(e_1) = \prod_{i=1}^{l} P(w_i) = 0.18 \cdot 0.05 \cdot 0.01 \cdot 0.13 \cdot 0.1 \cdot 0.12 \cdot 0.02 = 2.8 \cdot 10^{-9}$$

$$P(e_2) = 0.18 \cdot 0.07 \cdot 0.11 \cdot 0.21 \cdot 0.01 \cdot 0.13 \cdot 0.1 \cdot 0.01 = 3.8 \cdot 10^{-10}$$

By multiplying the prior and the translation probability, we see that the latter translation is more probable:

$$P(e_1)P(r|e_1) = 0.063 \cdot 2.8 \cdot 10^{-9} = 1.8 \cdot 10^{-10}$$
$$P(e_2)P(r|e_2) = 2.6 \cdot 10^{-10}$$

Notice that our translation model does not care about the word order. As neither the unigram model does that, the full model gives no importance to the order. Also, if the most probable sentence is asked instead of testing alternatives, there will be no articles or word "into" in it. The reason is that adding them will not affect the translation probability, and always reduces the language model probability. So the language model favours shorter sentences. By increasing the model context to trigram we might get a model that puts the articles and word order better in their place.

In common case we need some heuristics to choose the translations that will be considered. Calculating probabilities for all the possible alternatives is impossible in practice.

2. Let's use the word f = "tosiasia" (fact) as an example. It has occurred in 983 sentences. In order to do normalization, we must also count the number of occurrences (sentences where they occurred in) for every English word.

a-b) Twenty English words that had the largest values for the number of cooccurrences and the normalized number of co-occurrences are given in the table below. We see that neither of the methods gave desired results. For unnormalized frequencies, the problem is with the very common words, that occur in almost any sentence and thus also with our f. For normalized frequencies, the problem is reversed, i.e. very rare words. If a word that occurs only once happen to occur with f, it will give the maximum value, 1.0.

e	C(e, f)	e	$\frac{C(e,f)}{C(e)}$
the	851	winkler	1.0000
that	765	visarequired	1.0000
is	720	visaexempt	1.0000
fact	632	veiling	1.0000
of	599	valuejudgment	1.0000
a	523	undisputable	1.0000
and	515	stayers	1.0000
to	497	semipermeable	1.0000
in	481	rulingout	1.0000
it	318	roentgen	1.0000
this	311	residuarity	1.0000
are	246	regionallevel	1.0000
we	243	redhaired	1.0000
not	239	poorlyfounded	1.0000
for	221	philippic	1.0000
have	210	pemelin	1.0000
be	199	paiania	1.0000
which	192	overcultivation	1.0000
on	182	outturns	1.0000
has	173	onesixth	1.0000

c) The problem in the previous methods was that they did not take into account the bidirectionality of the translation: For e to be a probable translation for f, e

should occur in those sentences were f occurred, and also f should occur in those sentences were e occurred. In this case, both probability estimates $P(e|f) = \frac{C(e,f)}{C(f)}$ and $P(f|e) = \frac{C(e,f)}{C(e)}$ should be high. Let's use the product of those probabilities as the weight for e.

The results are in the left-most table on the next page. This time we found the correct translation, and another closely related word, reality, has the next highest value.

Let's try also the χ^2 test that was presented in context of the collocations:

$$\chi^2 = \frac{N(O_{11}O_{22} - O_{12}O_{21})^2}{(O_{11} + O_{12})(O_{11} + O_{21})(O_{12} + O_{22})(O_{21} + O_{22})},$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} O_{11} &=& C(e,f) \\ O_{12} &=& C(e,\neg f) = C(e) - C(e,f) \\ O_{21} &=& C(\neg e,f) = C(f) - C(e,f) \\ O_{22} &=& C(\neg e,\neg f) = N - C(e) - C(f) + C(e,f) \end{array}$$

and N is the number of sentences in the corpus. For the words that will get the χ^2 value over 3.843, the probability that the co-occurrences were there by chance is less than 5%.

The words that have the largest values are it the right-side table. The test seems to work very nicely: Only "fact" exceeded the chosen confidence value. On the other hand, if we would like to have alternative translations, such as "reality", a method that gave probability values would be more convenient.

In practice, the translation probabilities are often determined iteratively using the EM algorithm. This way one can limit that one English word would be a translation for many Finnish words. However, a method such as above might be used for initialization of the probabilities.

e	$\log\left(\frac{C(e,f)}{C(e)} \cdot \frac{C(e,f)}{C(f)}\right)$	e	χ^2
fact	-4.0184	fact	17.3120
reality	-6.0493	reality	2.2027
winkler	-6.1975	winkler	2.0000
that	-6.3200	that	1.4287
is	-6.4256	is	1.2133
visarequired	-6.8906	visarequired	1.0000
visaexempt	-6.8906	visae xempt	1.0000
veiling	-6.8906	veiling	1.0000
valuejudgment	-6.8906	valuejudgment	1.0000
undisputable	-6.8906	undisputable	1.0000
stayers	-6.8906	stayers	1.0000
semipermeable	-6.8906	semipermeable	1.0000
rulingout	-6.8906	rulingout	1.0000
roentgen	-6.8906	roentgen	1.0000
residuarity	-6.8906	residuarity	1.0000
regionallevel	-6.8906	regionallevel	1.0000
redhaired	-6.8906	redhaired	1.0000
poorlyfounded	-6.8906	poorlyfounded	1.0000
philippic	-6.8906	philippic	1.0000
pemelin	-6.8906	pemelin	1.0000
-			