Evoked Potentials: Modalities and Noise Reduction

Based on course book sections 4.1 - 4.3.4

Juha Raitio juha.raitio@hut.fi

21st October 2004

HUT T-61.181 Biomedical Signal Processing

Outline

- Evoked potentials (EP)
- Recording EPs
- Analyzing EPs
- EP modalities
- Processing of EPs
 - Characteristics and assumptions on noise
 - Noise reduction by ensemble averaging
 - * Homogeneous ensembles
 - * Inhomogeneous ensembles
- Summary

Evoked potentials (EP) - short introduction

- EPs are brain activity that occurs as response to sensory stimulation of nervous tissues
- Commonly used stimulation is auditory or visual
- Recorded using electrodes on the scalp
- Responses are weak transient waveforms of electrical potentials
- Analyses of waveform morphology potentially provide information e.g. on
 - sensory pathways abnormalities
 - localization of lesions affecting the pathways
 - disorders related to language and speech
- Many uncontrollable factors that influence response waveform morphology

Propagation of evoked potentials

- 1. Stimulus elicits electrical impulses in sensory nerve cells
- 2. Impulses propagate along nerve fibers in the brain
- 3. Complex structures of cortex amplify and slow down the impulses
 - Amplitudes of brains stem responses are order of 1/10 of cortical responses
 - Inter peak latencies are a few milliseconds in brain stem, but over 100 ms in late cortical responses
- EPs have very low amplitude $(0.1 10\mu V)$
- EEG is seen as loud noise (amplitude $10 100\mu V$)

Recording of evoked potentials - general

• EPs are recorded from the scalp similarly to EEG recording



- Electrodes view large areas and thus have rather desynchronized view of impulses
- Multi-channel recording views spatial distribution of EPs



Waveform morphology - transcription

- Peak component of response waveform is referred to by P (positive amplitude)
- Trough component of response waveform by N (negative amplitude)
- Appended number reflects latency in milliseconds e.g.
 - P300 is signifies positive peak 300 ms after stimulus
- If less than 10, appended number reflects temporal order e.g
 - N3 implies that third waveform component has negative amplitude

Analyses of evoked potentials - general

- Characteristic measures of response waveforms are extracted from recordings
- Measurements are compared to normative values to discriminate neurological impairment
 - analyses of individual channels with respect to time and amplitude waveform properties
 - multi-channel analyses may provide additional information on location of impairment e.g. epileptic focus
 - analyses per the age group, as normative values are strongly dependent on age

Auditory evoked potentials (AEP)

- Typically generated in response to short sound waves e.g. 10 clicks per second
- Recorded by electrodes behind ears and at vertex
- Reflects how neural information propagates from acoustic nerve to the cortex
- Response is divided into intervals for analyses purposes
 - 1. brainstem response
 - 2. middle cortical response
 - 3. late cortical response
- Applications
 - diagnosing hearing losses and brainstem disorders
 - monitoring anesthesia
 - monitoring interoperability during brain surgery

Somatosensory evoked potentials (SEP)

- Generated as response to electrical stimulation of peripheral nerve, from arm or leg
- Recorded by electrodes over motor-sensory cortex
- Provides information on nerve conduction functionality via spinal cord to cortex
- Applications
 - identifies blocked or impaired conduction in sensory pathways
 - monitoring interoperability during spine surgery

Visual evoked potentials (VEP)

- Response to visual stimuli pattern reversal or flashing
- Recorded over visual cortex with reference electrode at vertex
- Characterized by long latency, high amplitude
- Reflects functionality of visual pathway
- Applications
 - diagnosing ocular and retinal disorders
 - detecting visual field defects and optic nerve pathology

Evoked potentials and cognition

- Potentials evoked by cognitive tasks such as recognition of sound stimuli
- Very long latencies compared to exogenous (AEP, SEP, VEP) responses
- Characterized by P300, which is related to the time required for memory updating

11

Noise characteristics

- Main source of noise is the spontaneous background EEG activity
- Minor sources that has to be taken into account are non-cerebral
 - eye blinks, eye and lid movements
 - muscular activity, heart
 - 50/60Hz interference, instrumentation noise, poor electrode attachment

Noise reduction by ensemble averaging

- EPs are known to be synchronized to stimulus presentation
- Noise occur in more random fashion than EPs
- The approach is to cancel the noise by repeated time-synchronized experiments

Ensemble averaging - notation

• Electrical potential on scalp is measured N times with fixed interval

$$x(n), \quad n = 0, \dots, N - 1$$
 (1)

or

$$\mathbf{x}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{i}(0) \\ x_{i}(1) \\ \vdots \\ x_{i}(N-1) \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

• Sampling is repeated for M stimuli to form an ensemble

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 \dots \mathbf{x}_M \end{bmatrix} \tag{3}$$

Averaging of homogeneous ensembles - model

• Observed response x_i is assumed to be composed of evoked signal s and additive random noise v_i

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{v}_i,\tag{4}$$

where

$$\mathbf{s} = \begin{bmatrix} s(0) \\ s(1) \\ \vdots \\ s(N-1) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}_i = \begin{bmatrix} v_i(0) \\ v_i(1) \\ \vdots \\ v_i(N-1) \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

- Noise is assumed to have zero mean $E[v_i(n)] = 0$
- Correlation function of noise $r_{v_i}(k) = E[v_i(n)v_i(n-k)]$ is assumed to decay to zero and be uncorrelated between recordings

Averaging of homogeneous ensembles - estimation

• Estimate of signal s is calculated by averaging the ensembles

$$\hat{s}_a(n) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M x_i(n) = s(n) + \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M v_i(n), \quad \text{or}$$
(6)

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}}_a = \frac{1}{M} \left(\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_M \right) = \frac{1}{M} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{s} + \frac{1}{M} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{1}, \text{ where}$$
(7)

 $\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 \dots \mathbf{v}_M \end{bmatrix}$

Averaging of homogeneous ensembles - properties of estimator

- $\hat{s}_a(n)$ is an unbiased estimator, since $E[\hat{s}_a(n)] = s(n)$
- $\hat{s}_a(n)$ is a consistent estimator, since $Var[\hat{s}_a(n)] = \frac{r_v(0)^2}{M} = \frac{\sigma_v^2}{M} \to 0$, as $M \to \infty$
- Noise magnitude is reduced by a factor \sqrt{M}



Averaging of homogeneous ensembles - problems

- 1. Assumes zero-mean noise (can be resolved with better model)
- 2. Assumes noise is uncorrelated between measurements (can be resolved with better model)
- 3. Assumes noise is white and Gaussian (can be helped with other methods)
- 4. Assumes noise is uncorrelated from signal
- 5. Assumes constant waveform morphology, no changes in amplitude or shape, allows no missing responses
- 6. Assumes perfect time synchronization between stimulus and response

Ensemble averaging viewed as linear filtering

• Signal x(n) is now formulated as concatenation of successive recorded potentials $x_1(n), \ldots, x_M(n)$

$$x(n) = x_{\lfloor \frac{n}{N} \rfloor + 1} (n - \lfloor \frac{n}{N} \rfloor N), \quad n = 0, \dots, NM - 1$$
(8)

• Ensemble averaging is viewed as filter with impulse response h(n)

$$h(n) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \delta(n - iN)$$
(9)

• Signal may be now modeled as

$$\hat{s}_{a}(n) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} x(l)h(n-l) = x(n) * h(n)$$
(10)

Frequency response of ensemble averaging

- Frequency response may be used to analyze the properties of ensemble averaging
- Ensemble averaging implements a comb filter with period $2\pi/N$
- Magnitude response of the filter suppress noise inversely proportional to number of recordings M

• Examples of magnitude response for (M, N) = (10, 10), (40, 10) and (40, 20)



• Stimulus rate should be chosen so that noise rhythms do not interfere with signal

Exponential averaging

• Ensemble average may be computed recursively at observation M

$$\hat{s}_{a,M} = \frac{1}{M} X_M 1_M$$

$$= \frac{1}{M} (X_{M-1} 1_{M-1} + x_M)$$

$$= \hat{s}_{a,M-1} + \frac{1}{M} (x_M - \hat{s}_{a,M-1}), \quad \hat{s}_{a,0} = 0$$
(11)

• Exponential averaging is generalization using weight factor α instead of 1/M

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{e,M} = \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{e,M-1} + \alpha (\mathbf{x}_M - \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{e,M-1}), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1$$
 (12)

- α determines how much weight is given to recent versus past values of signal
 - trade-off between low noise level and rapid tracking of amplitude changes

Exponential averaging - properties

- Implements a comb filter
- Unbiased estimator

$$E[\hat{s}_{e,M}(n)] = (1 - (1 - \alpha)^M)s(n)$$
(13)

• But not consistent, variance

$$Var[\hat{s}_{e,M}(n)] = \alpha^2 \frac{1 - (1 - \alpha)^{2M}}{1 - (1 - \alpha)^2} \sigma_v^2$$
(14)

• Variance may be approximated using recursion

Averaging of inhomogeneous ensembles

- Noise variance in all EPs is not really constant as assumed previously
- In reality one has to deal with
 - occurrence of short-duration artifacts
 - variations in noise level between measurements
 - non-Gaussian noise distribution
- Occasional artifacts can be dealt with rejection of response in question
- General resolution is to weight responses by their quality

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}}_w = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w},\tag{15}$$

where w is to be wisely chosen

Noise reduction by weighted averaging - model

• All observations in ensemble are modeled as

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{s}\mathbf{a}^T + \mathbf{V}, \text{ where}$$
(16)

– s is the evoked signal

- a assigns evocations individual amplitudes

$$\mathbf{a} = \left[\begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_M \end{array} \right]$$

- V is additive noise characterized by correlation matrix $R_V = E[V^T V]$

Weighted averaging - determining weights

• Weights w are now determined from the model

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}}_w = \mathbf{s}\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{V}\mathbf{w} \tag{17}$$

- Several methods for finding weights w exist
- Maximizing signal energy to noise requires least assumptions

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \operatorname{SNR} = \max_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{a} \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{s} \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{w}}{E[\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V} \mathbf{w}]} = \max_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{a} \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{s} \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{w}}$$
(18)

- Assume normalized signal energy $s^T s = 1$ and fixed $w^T R_V w = 1$
- Best weights w* are found by standard methods for constraint optimization

Determining weights - varying noise variance case

• Assume fixed amplitude for responses $(a = a_0 1)$ and individual noise variances

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{V}} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{v_1}^2 & 0 & \dots & 0\\ 0 & \sigma_{v_2}^2 & \dots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sigma_{v_M}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Under these assumptions the best weights for ensemble averaging are

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \frac{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{V}}^{-1}\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{V}}^{-1}\mathbf{1}} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^M \frac{1}{\sigma_{v_i}^2}} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma_{v_1}^2} \frac{1}{\sigma_{v_2}^2} \dots \frac{1}{\sigma_{v_M}^2}\right]^T$$
(19)

- Resulting estimator is unbiased with variance $Var[\hat{s}_w(n)] = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\sigma_{v_i}^2}$
- Prestimulus intervals must be used for estimation of noise variances $\sigma_{v_i}^2$

Comparison of ensemble averaging and weighted averaging

• Ratio of estimator variances $Var[\hat{s}_a(n)]/Var[\hat{s}_w(n)]$ as inhomogeneity increases, curves computed for orders 2, 3, 4 and 5 of difference in ensemble variances



• Estimates of VEP by weighted and ensemble average when 20 of 100 responses have noise amplified of order 20



Summary

- EPs are brain activity that occurs as response to sensory stimulation of nervous tissues
- Commonly used stimulation is auditory or visual
- Responses are weak transient waveforms of electrical potentials
- Analyzing waveform morphology potentially provide information e.g. on
 - sensory pathways abnormalities
 - localization of lesions affecting the pathways
 - disorders related to language and speech
- Many uncontrollable factors influence response waveform morphology
- Main source of noise is the spontaneous and loud background EEG activity

- Ensemble averaging aims to cancel any random noise
- Some assumptions of ensemble averaging are hard to satisfy and deal with
 - constant waveform morphology, no changes in amplitude or shape, none missing
 - perfect time synchronization between stimulus and response
- Ensemble averaging may be viewed as linear filtering
- Exponential averaging allows to control trade-off between low noise level and rapid tracking of amplitude changes
- Most general solution for using ensembles is to weight responses so that SNR is maximized
- Optimal weights maybe computed by constraint optimization